

**Frequently Asked  
Questions regarding the  
consultation on the provision  
of primary school places in  
Reigate which took place 27  
November 2023 to 21 January  
2024**



**SURREY**  
COUNTY COUNCIL

## Frequently Asked Questions regarding the consultation on the provision of primary school places in Reigate which took place 27 November 2023 to 21 January 2024

These are archived questions and may no longer be relevant to current work regarding the provision of primary school places in Reigate and the future of Reigate Priory Junior School.

### Has Surrey County Council considered all hybrid proposals to allow Reigate Priory Junior School to be reconfigured on its current site, including parts of the Priory building?

We are clear that the building and its setting cannot be reasonably adapted to address the deficiency in spaces due to its heritage status and listing. Whilst the heritage designations of the Priory building pose significantly more challenges than most Education developments, there are numerous other factors that Surrey County Council is required to consider, such as pupil place planning (sufficiency), accommodation, including play space provision, health and safety, safeguarding, security, and inclusion and accessibility.

The existing building accommodation is severely constrained making it simply unviable to achieve a modern education environment. The building's heritage and listed status mean these constraints cannot be overcome.

The age and condition of the building also means it is not fully accessible for pupils with additional needs and disabilities. Therefore, there are barriers for the school to be fully inclusive.

There is a significant impact on the day to day running of the school due to several areas where the current building does not meet modern education standards set by the Department for Education (DfE):

Classrooms sizes are not sufficient.

The adjacent dining hall in the existing school is only 85m<sup>2</sup> and it should be 150m<sup>2</sup>.

Insufficient daylighting to teaching spaces.

The existing school kitchen is significantly undersized. It is 25m<sup>2</sup> and a school of this size should have a 70m<sup>2</sup> kitchen.

Whilst the above list is not exhaustive, there are also two key areas of safety concern with the current site:

1. There is a Public Right of Way (PROW) through the school site, which splits the site in two, with buildings on either side. Attempts to have this closed or re-directed have failed. Reigate Priory Junior School previously tried to object to the PROW and have this closed. However, following a Planning Inspectorate review in 2015 of the definitive map modification order 2014 entitled 'The Surrey County Council Footpaths Numbers 632 and 633 (Reigate)' and associated planning permission for the gates (Reigate and Banstead Planning Reference P/08/01064/F), the Order was confirmed, and the school were subsequently required to comply with it and the respective planning conditions to maintain the PROW.
2. The school uses Priory Park, which is open to the public, as the sports pitches for the school. The school site sits on an area of land within Priory Park, which is used by the public. There is a low perimeter rail around some of the setting which poses potential safeguarding risk where staff supervision is needed to mitigate risks.

As with all schools there is a continuous need to ensure statutory compliance through regular assessment of health and safety and fire safety matters, and mitigate any risks identified in accordance with regulations. However, due to the age and condition of the building it is much more susceptible to such risks and therefore significant additional mitigations, including resources, are needed to meet the Authority's obligations than in comparison with a similar sized school in a more modern building. The heritage designation means it is not fully accessible for pupils with additional needs and disabilities as it is not capable of being adequately adapted throughout.

Furthermore, maintenance costs for Reigate Priory Junior School are 1,108% more than an equivalent size school, and consequently it is not value for money for the school to stay in the current building. The only way to achieve compliance with DfE standards would be by demolishing the building and re-providing it, but as it is a Scheduled Monument and a Grade I listed building in a Grade II listed parkland, there is no prospect of Historic England granting consent for demolition. We believe it would be impossible to leave the existing heritage building intact and provide a big enough new building within the site adjacent to the existing building, as such a proposal would not achieve planning consent.

### Have any hybrid options been explored by the Department for Education?

This is the latest update we received in December 2023 from the Department for Education (DfE) regarding re-provision of Reigate Priory Junior School:

"We considered options for addressing the condition need at the current Priory Junior School site and our assessment was that planning and heritage requirements and other constraints meant that any development to the site would be challenging. We concluded that even if a deliverable solution were identified, it would be compromised and would not fully meet the school's long-term needs. The new accommodation will give children the best possible accommodation for their education.

To clarify, the DfE explored the hybrid option of complete new build, part new build/ part refurbished, retained, existing solution and concluded that this was not viable for the re-provision of a 5-form-entry (5FE) Junior School. The assessment was that planning and heritage requirements and other constraints meant that any development to the site would be challenging. This conclusion was arrived at following consultation with Reigate and Banstead Borough Council Planning and Conservation Officers, along with Historic England. The DfE scheme required a new 3-storey building to be provided on the site of the current 1950s Year 6 Block. The feedback from Reigate & Banstead Borough Council and Historic England was unequivocal in that a 3-storey building would not be acceptable. This led the DfE to conclude that in order to sustain Reigate Priory Junior School in its current 5FE configuration, re-provision on an alternative site is the best option and that even if a deliverable solution were identified, it would not fully meet the school's long-term needs."

### Do all other schools in Surrey comply with Design Standards for Schools?

It would be rare to require existing buildings to comply with the most up to date design standards. However, when consideration is being given to the re-provision or adaptation of a building, there would have to be a compelling reason to ignore more recent building standards.

### Was Wray Park included in the site search and why isn't it included as an option for a future site for Reigate Priory Junior School?

Yes, the Surrey Fire & Rescue site at Wray Park Road was included in the site search completed in August 2023. The majority of the site is already developed and occupied by Surrey Fire & Rescue Service with no plans to vacate in the future. The training centre is one of very few in the country which has an unrestricted license to carry out fire training and burn hazardous materials for training. Relocation of these facilities would be costly and difficult.

Further details are set out in [Annex 5](#) of the consultation. The site is also one of the main sites used by SCC (Surrey County Council) for emergency contingency planning – required by Government.

Part of the site is Urban Open Space, and the policy resists the loss of open space although there is a criterion that may allow for school expansion. Given the unrestricted licensing there is likely to be potential land contamination issues. This could impact on viability and deliverability. There are amenity issues with the retention of the fire and rescue training centre and Surrey Fire and Rescue and locating a School adjacent to these uses in terms of fumes and noise and disturbance from the blue light operations. Health and safety matters pertaining to operational site adjacent to a school together with safeguarding matters would also need to be overcome.

If the site were available, it is not in the best location from an education perspective as it is 1 mile away from the current site and is closer to schools in the primary planning area of Redhill. Current forecasts project a surplus of places in the planning area of Redhill meaning that there will be vacant places or capacity and there is not a need for additional school places in the area.

### Was the Park Hall ex care home site on Reigate Hill considered in the site search?

The site does not meet the site search criteria and is around 0.75ha acres in size (less than half the size of Reigate Priority Junior School site). It is Surrey County Council's intention to redevelop the Park Hall site as an Alternative Provision School and Academy for Reigate Valley College to accommodate 72 primary and secondary pupils in 2025.

### Priory Park has a large expanse of land, has consideration been given to building the school within a different location within the park?

There are heritage restrictions, and the park is also designated as metropolitan green belt. Due to the size of school required and known constraints, development within the wider park site would not be feasible, especially when it can be demonstrated that a site of lower designation is available (at Woodhatch Place).

### Why can't the Council just put the additional investment into making the existing Reigate Priory Junior School into a location fit for modern education, rather than the expense of building a new school?

The building designations (e.g. listed status), age, and site constraints to deliver a school environment to the required standards cannot be overcome by investment alone, no matter how significant.

### Reigate Priory is a significant building for Reigate, what will happen to the upkeep of the building if the school were to vacate?

Surrey County Council will continue to be responsible for the building and site as leaseholders but will work closely with its landlord, Reigate and Banstead Borough Council, and relevant stakeholders, to find a suitable new use for the site in the future. No plans will commence until the future of Reigate Priory Junior School is determined.

### What was said at the public meeting at Reigate Priory Junior School on 6 December 2023?

Below is the full text of the speech given by Liz Mills, Surrey County Council's Director of Education and Lifelong Learning, at the public meeting on 6 December 2023:

"I'd like to start by welcoming everyone and thanking you for coming to the consultation event this evening. As Richard said, my name is Liz Mills, and I am the Director for Education and Lifelong Learning for Surrey County Council

Reigate Priory Junior School is a much-loved school with a rich history spanning many generations. We understand how difficult this consultation is, and the proposed options are, for members of the community. I would like to start by thanking the school leadership team at Reigate Priory Junior School who are doing an incredible job to provide an excellent education, in very challenging circumstances, and the governors at Reigate Priory who have dedicated their time and who volunteer to ensure that the very best outcomes for children and young people are achieved.

We are respectful of the building, all its history, and people's attachment to it. However, we must also acknowledge that it poses very real and difficult restrictions on the school's day to day operation and long-term security. And Reigate's children deserve to learn in an excellent environment. As the Director of Education and on behalf of the Council it is my responsibility to ensure that there are sufficient high-quality places for children to be educated, to ensure that we meet the requirements of the equality duty in the course of our work and that we ensure that we are working together to keep children safe in education.

A simple and powerful example of the existing limitations of the school site mean that we currently deny entry to children with additional needs and disabilities as the building is not accessible or equipped appropriately for their needs. This cannot be appropriate in 2023, and it is not lawful. There are also child protection risks with a public right of way through the school site and the use of the public park for sports and other activities. We cannot ignore these risks which the school are required to mitigate on a daily basis. The Grade 1 listing of the building means that adaptations are severely limited and even minor maintenance issues come with very lengthy processes of agreement and approval. This is not conducive to the scale and pace of school life or the needs of children in the community now. As a result, classrooms are not suitable for modern education, maintenance is extremely difficult, and the wider facilities are inappropriate to meet children's needs properly. Children are regularly subject to extremes of temperature, peeling paint and a host of issues being managed by the school team. This is a view also held by the

Department for Education who support this consultation, and who are willing to fund a 21st Century classroom environment for all Reigate Priory children now and in the future. Maintenance of the current school is ongoing, and we are investing significantly in order to keep the school open. But no amount of money spent on building maintenance will result in the learning environment required, that is equal to that which children enjoy elsewhere in the county.

As you know, we submitted a planning application to relocate the school to Woodhatch Place earlier this year to secure its future. The application was referred back to us, by the planning committee, with the potential for resubmission. This is different from a refusal of permission. In order to find a way forward from here that is informed by the views of the community we have launched this consultation. The consultation documents summarise the background, rationale, and options.

To summarise, the options are:

Option 1 – Move Reigate Priory Junior School in its entirety to Woodhatch Place creating 5 form entry junior school.

Option 2 - to establish an education working group to explore reorganisation options for schools in the Reigate primary planning area. This option would also require further statutory consultation and perhaps a series of separate planning applications if other schools require building modifications. Neither of these options are therefore without risk.

We have reflected on the reasons that the planning permission was referred back to us. We have continued to undertake work looking at further mitigations that could be put in place to address concerns. These include things like putting in additional support for transport, further work on safer crossings in addition to the pavement widening, traffic calming, additional pedestrian crossings and speed limits. Any specific new mitigations would be included in a subsequent resubmission of the planning application, if that option is pursued following this consultation. However, we know and have heard clearly some residents' concerns about moving the school to Woodhatch Place. We have listened, and so we have broadened the scope of discussions to look at wider options for primary school provision across Reigate. This is described as option 2. We have to find the right solution – for school staff, parents and carers, and the people of Reigate, but most of all for current pupils, prospective pupils and the future generations of young people in Reigate. I think this is something everyone in this room can agree on. However, we know that there are differing views and questions about how best to achieve this. This is why we're here today, and why we've opened this education consultation to hear the views of a wide range of people across the community.

This is not a planning consultation and no submission to the planning committee will be made, if at all, until the Cabinet have taken your views into account and made a decision based on all the available information. I must stress that we have no preferred outcome to this consultation, other than to secure suitable education for the children of Reigate now and for future generations.

Any question we cannot answer in the room we will commit to responding to in writing as part of the Frequently Asked Questions document online.

I'd now like to invite the Head Teacher of Reigate Priory Mr Moses to speak on behalf of the school community.

Thank you."

### **My school is part of an Academy Trust or Church school, why is Surrey County Council conducting the consultation?**

If Surrey County Council's Cabinet decide to pursue option 2 the working group may consider changes to all schools in the pupil planning area of Reigate. The statutory process for making a decision for a significant change is slightly different depending on the type of school, however all would be subject to further consultation.

The council has a duty to ensure that there is a sufficiency of school places in a local area and therefore can consult on all state funded places in the locality. Surrey County Council does not have the power to mandate change to an academy trust school. Option 2 describes how Surrey County Council would work with all schools in the local area through their leadership teams and governance arrangements with a view to ensuring that the duty to provide sufficient school places can be met. Surrey County Council may not be the proposer or decision maker in any future change to a school that is part of an Academy Trust. In the



event that agreement cannot be reached the Council could apply to make changes via the Regional Directors office for academy schools.

### **I am a parent with a pupil making the transition from infant to junior school, next year. Will the consultation impact on the school preferences I submit?**

We understand that the uncertainty may impact parental preference. We would like to reassure parents that we are working towards finding a solution that is fit for the future for Reigate Priory Junior School and provide sustainability of school places overall in the area.

At the earliest, changes could come into effect from September 2026. There are no changes to admission arrangements for 2024/25 and 2025/26.

All admission arrangements must adhere to the [Admissions Code 2021](#). Admission authorities must consult if reducing the published admission number (PAN) of a school. Consultation must be for a minimum of 6 weeks and must take place between 1 October and 31 January, 18 months before the school year that those arrangements are to apply. Therefore, any changes to admission arrangements in September 2026 would be consulted on between 1 October 2024 and 31 January 2025.

In option 1 there is no planned change to the admission criteria (other than a potential reduction in PAN described in the question below). Holmesdale Infant School and Dovers Green Infant School would remain as the two named feeder schools to Reigate Priory Junior School. Currently if the number of children who qualify under any criterion is greater than the number of places remaining available at the school, any remaining places will be offered to children who meet the criterion on the basis of proximity of the child's home address to the front door of the Reigate Priory building in Priory Park, Reigate, with children living nearest receiving the greater priority. If relocating the school would disadvantage any pupils, changes to the admission criteria could be considered.

If option 2 is agreed changes to admission arrangements may be considered by the working group. The admission authority for each school would need to consult on any proposed changes to the admission criteria.

### **The needs analysis shows there may be a need to reduce the number of junior school places in the future. Will Reigate Priory Junior School reduce Year 3 Published Admissions Number (PAN) and if so, does that change the options available?**

Changes to Published Admissions Number (PAN) are temporary. When a school reduces PAN, they still have capacity to increase if there was a need for more school places in the area in future years.

Therefore, if Surrey County Council Cabinet are asked to make a decision for the long-term future of schools in the area, ideally, we would aim to sustain the capacity of 11 forms of entry in the area. An option to reduce capacity could be considered if it was identified that there were sufficient places in the short-term and potential to increase further if needed in the long-term.

Recently, Holmesdale Community Infant School reduced from a PAN of 120 to 90 in year R, in September 2023. The forecasts currently suggest that either Reigate Priory Junior School or Sandcross Primary School (as the two feeder schools) should reduce PAN by 30 places in September 2026.

In line with the [Admissions Code 2021](#) admission authorities must consult if reducing the published admission number (PAN) of a school. Any changes to admission arrangements in September 2026 would be consulted on between 1 October 2024 and 31 January 2025.

### **Why are you consulting on all schools in Reigate? Why not schools in Redhill?**

The Local Authority Pupil Planning Areas Guide for Local Authorities describes a pupil planning area as a group of schools within the local authority which is used for the purpose of assessing current and future pupil demand for school place provision.

The consultation directly impacts schools in the school place planning area of Reigate. The five schools in the primary planning area of Reigate are Reigate Priory Junior School, Dovers Green Infant School, Holmesdale Community Infant School, Sandcross Primary School and Reigate Parish Primary School. It may indirectly impact schools in neighbouring planning areas.

The education place planning forecasts for the number of primary school places is available in Annex 4 of the consultation documents. There are currently surplus places expected in the Redhill primary planning area. Therefore, expansions of schools in Redhill would not be in scope as part of option 2. However, the working group described in option 2 could liaise with schools in the Redhill planning area and may consider changes to admission arrangements and/or utilising places in the Redhill planning area and other neighbouring areas.

### The education place planning forecasts show a need for between 10 forms of entry and 11 forms of entry in primary schools in Reigate. Can this be accommodated under option 1?

There is current capacity for 11 forms of entry (11FE) across the five primary schools in the pupil planning area of Reigate. In option 1 Reigate Priory Junior School would remain a 5FE junior school and therefore capacity of 11FE in the area would remain.

### When will the education place planning forecasts be updated?

The forecasts are produced using a system called Edge Analytics. Data is collected annually, and the forecasts are usually updated in the autumn term each year.

This year we are expecting to share forecasts with schools by the end of term. We will aim to update Annex 4 by the end of January 2024.

### How would each consultation option impact secondary schools?

The primary schools in Reigate are not named feeders into any secondary schools. Reigate School is the secondary school closest to the Reigate primary schools. Carrington School and St Bedes are also within 3 miles.

In option 1 there is not expected to be any change in the flow of pupils from primary to secondary.

Around 40% of pupils leaving Reigate Priory Junior School go to Reigate School in Year 7 followed by approx. 20% going to St Bedes. In terms of journeys from junior school to secondary, the proposed site at Woodhatch Place in option 1 is slightly closer (less than half a mile) to Reigate School than the current site and slightly further away (less than half a mile) to St Bede's School than the current site.

Outside of this consultation there may be a reduction in PAN at either Sandcross Primary or Reigate Priory Junior School in September 2026 which could mean fewer pupils leaving year 6 in July 2029.

In option 2 the working group would liaise with secondary schools as stakeholders and consider any impacts for secondary schools in any changes proposed.

### I thought the planning application had been refused, why is option 1 therefore proposing a move to Woodhatch Place?

In February, Surrey County Council submitted a planning application for the re-location of Reigate Priory Junior School, from its current building to a new purpose-built setting at Woodhatch Place, off Cockshot Hill in Reigate. Although the application was recommended for approval by planning officers, the committee referred the application back to the Council.

Surrey County Council was given the option to re-submit the application but, following feedback from residents, we instead took time to consider the best solution for the school and surrounding residents. As a result, we are now publishing a consultation to hear your views on the future of primary school provision in the whole Reigate area, including Reigate Priory Junior School. This is an education consultation published by Surrey County Council's education service, consulting on options to provide sustainable education for children and young people in Reigate. It is not a planning consultation.

Any planning application would be considered outside of the education consultation. Although Surrey County Council's Cabinet will be making a decision on the future provision of primary school places in Reigate, any decision which includes a new building or adaptations to a current site would still be subject to the relevant planning permissions.

### Did Surrey County Council only buy Woodhatch Place to build the school there?

No, the Woodhatch site was acquired for multiple purposes, one being a potential site for a new school, but others being a new civic centre for the county in Surrey.

The surrounding land was earmarked for various potential schemes that could help deliver vital services for Surrey residents, including – but not limited to – extra care accommodation for adults with complex needs, training, housing, and other council services.

### Aren't there better uses for the Woodhatch site, for example Extra Care?

The need to provide mainstream school places is a statutory duty, which makes the school relocation the highest priority at the moment. Separately, Surrey County Council has two Extra Care projects underway in Banstead and Redhill.

### If the Woodhatch Place relocation proposal was taken forward and incurred cost overruns, would these costs need to be borne by Reigate Priory School? Could it lead to the school being placed on an unsustainable financial footing from the outset of any proposed move?

No, the project is supported by DfE funding, and any balance of funding is to be met by Surrey County Council.

### Has Reigate Priory Junior School been marketed informally for sale or hire as a site to any interested parties?

There are currently no plans for the existing school site and buildings, should it be vacated Reigate Priory Junior School. Should Option 2 be pursued, the retention of Reigate Priory Junior School on site may be an option, albeit a smaller school that it is presently.

We will not be making any formal plans for the future of the existing facilities until the future of the school is determined.

### Does option 2 also include the hybrid proposal which Reigate & Banstead Borough Council have said they support and is more than possible? Allowing the school to remain on site in a new / updated form on the Victorian and year 6 part of the site?

The decision that the existing school site is not suitable for redevelopment, was reached through extensive feasibility work conducted by the DfE in consultation with Reigate and Banstead Borough Council's Planning and Conservation Teams, as well as Historic England representatives. Numerous options were explored – including using both buildings, solely the 1950s block, and different heights and sizes - but it was determined that it would not be possible to build a school of the same size on the site.

The DfE explored a hybrid option of complete new build, part new build/ part refurbished, retained, existing solution and concluded that this was not viable for the re-provision of a 5FE Junior School. Their assessment was that planning and heritage requirements and other constraints meant that any development to the site would be challenging. This conclusion was arrived at following consultation with Reigate & Banstead Borough Council Planning and Conservation Officers, along with Historic England. The DfE scheme required a new 3-storey building to be provided on the site of the current 1950s Year 6 Block. The feedback from Reigate & Banstead Borough Council and Historic England was unequivocal in that a 3-storey building would not be acceptable. This led the DfE to conclude that in order to sustain Reigate Priory Junior School in its current 5FE configuration, re-provision on an alternative site is the best option and that even if a deliverable solution were identified, it would not fully meet the school's long-term needs. DfE shares the view that new accommodation will give children the best possible accommodation for their education.

Whilst there are ways and means that the existing site and buildings could be redeveloped, these were not considered to be viable to meet the needs for Reigate Priory Junior School without a proposal that would be unacceptable in the context of the listed building and multiple other site designations.

There may be potential to accommodate a smaller school at the existing site, which could form part of the Option 2 scenarios, but it would require some wider reorganisation of school place provision in the Reigate area which would be examined via a working group looking at all reorganisation options.



### Can the working group under option 2 come up with any other proposals or are there limitations placed on this?

Should a working group be established, any of the options developed will be subject to viability appraisals and any preferred option taken forward will have a full feasibility study carried out with surveys to determine impacts. Any decision which includes a new building or adaptations to the current site would still be subject to the relevant planning permissions.

### Will Surrey County Council just resubmit planning anyway regardless of consultation outcome?

No. Any decision to submit a revised planning application would be subject to a Cabinet decision. All feedback and suggestions from the consultation will be carefully reviewed and considered in the decision-making process. If option 2 is pursued, this could be done in tandem with pursuing the planning application at Woodhatch under option 1. This is because of the uncertainties in making all the changes which may be necessary under option 2 and doing so within a reasonable time frame.

### Would it be possible to create a pedestrian access from Smoke Lane which would enable the use of a number of quieter roads and paths for pedestrians?

This was given due consideration at pre-planning stage but ruled out on the grounds of gradient, lighting and secure boundary.

### If Option 1 is chosen, will Surrey County Council put on a bus service for pupils?

Potentially. This is a key consideration raised at committee in the context of Surrey Local Transport Plan 4 and will be considered as part of any potential future application resubmission.

### How would a new school site at Woodhatch be safe for pedestrians, bikes and scooters?

This will be a safe and secure site with controlled access points, with secure internal routes that connect Cockshot Hill and Hornbeam Road, suitable for pedestrians, cycles, and scooters.

There will be a significant uplift in secure and covered cycle/scooter parking compared to the existing site.

Limited vehicular traffic will have access to the school grounds (unlike Bell Street which is unrestricted and open to the public). It will be a pedestrian dominated environment with suitable internal zebra crossings.

### How long will it take me pick up/drop off my child/ren if the school were to relocate to Woodhatch Place?

The logistics around multiple school pick-ups and drop-offs, specifically those that have a child in both Reigate Priory Junior School and Holmesdale Community Infant School is recognised. There would be a timetable proposed that retains breakfast/after school clubs, extends supervised play and allows the staggering of the school timetable to accommodate multiple drop-off and pick-up times.

### How would the Woodhatch relocation plan mitigate against the amount of traffic and road safety, the road is already busy and pavements inadequate for high numbers of pedestrians?

The development has been assessed with careful consideration for travel characteristics, traffic and parking conditions, road safety, the school's catchment, thus proposes an extensive package of highway mitigation.

The traffic surveys and transport assessment methodology were scoped with the relevant Transport Development Planning, Highways and Road Safety officers, in line with industry standard practice, and the associated off-site highway works designed and evolved accordingly in consultation with Surrey County Council, Reigate Priory Junior School, Holmesdale Community Infant School, Dovers Green School, residents, parents/guardians, governors, and councillors.

To address safety concerns, the proposed off-site highway works will fundamentally change the character of Bell Street and Cockshot Hill, with:

A blanket 20mph zone from Central Reigate to Woodhatch Road.

Enforcement of the reduced speed limit through alterations to the highway from speed humps to road narrowing.

Additional pedestrian crossings and pedestrian access points.

Installation of Copenhagen crossings at the amended entrance to Woodhatch and egress onto Cockshot Hill (giving priority to all pedestrians instead of vehicles in line with SCC LTP4/Healthy Streets for Surrey Design Guide).

Widening of the stretch of Cockshot Hill footway deemed to be of concern at consultations.

This is in addition to the wider package of transport measures including:

Adoption of the maximum possible on-site parking standards to reduce long-stay parking impacts on the local highway.

Extension to supervised play.

Phased departure of pupils (to minimise the impact on on-street parking and facilitate drop-off/pick-up between Reigate Priory Junior School and Holmesdale Community Infant School)

Promotion of off-site Park and Stride.

Implementation of and commitment to the HomeRun school travel app for a period of 5 years including promotion of car sharing.

£50,000 towards off-site junction improvements.

Continued promotion and potential extension of breakfast and after school club capacity.

Implementation of a school travel plan, car park management plan, delivery and servicing plan and full construction logistics plan.